

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/813,340                                   | 03/20/2001   | Hiep Huatan          | PC10381A                        | 1232             |
|  | . 05/06/2003 |                      |                                 |                  |
| Paul H. Ginsburg                             |              |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |
| Pfizer Inc<br>20th Floor                     |              | EVANS, CHARESSE L    |                                 |                  |
| 235 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017-5755 |              |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |              |                      | 1615<br>DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003 | 12               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | •   | Application No.            | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|   |   | 09/813,340                 | HUATAN ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | Examiner                   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Charesse L. Evans          | 1615  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03  | <u>March 2003</u> .        |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The   | nis action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 5)  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 7)  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   | ,   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| a)[   | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |                            |   |  |  |  |
|   | <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |                            |   |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority document  | ts have been received in A | Application No  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| 1)  Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of               | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Tr<br>PTO-326 (Re   |   | ction Summary              | Part of Paper No. 12  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1615

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Action Summary

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of applicant's amendment and remarks, filed March 3, 2003.

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of applicant's certified copies of priority documents, GB 0007112.6 and GB 0010846.4, submitted January 8, 2003.

Claims 1-11 are pending in this Action.

The last office action is recited below with responses to the argument following:

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Art Unit: 1615

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebert et al (US 4,428,927). The claims are directed to a formulation comprised of a solid masticable portion and one or more reservoir portions encompassed by the masticable portion.

Ebert teaches a chewable, filled one-piece soft elastic gelatin capsule (Abstract). The referenced gelatin capsule comprises about 10-90% by weight gelatin, and taste modifiers (column 2, lines 54-61 and column 5, Examples II and III). The fill may be selected from a variety of materials, including antacids, cough and cold preparation, sore throat remedies, antiseptics, dental preparations and breath fresheners (Abstract).

While the reference is silent regarding the Young's modulus of the solid masticable portion, differences in physical descriptions will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such physical characteristic is critical. In this case, what is the criticality of applicant's claimed value? Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in

Art Unit: 1615

the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the teachings of Ebert with the expectation of creating a chewable product that is broadly applicable to both human and non-human use. The chewable product would deliver consistent streams of medicament to the user of the chewable product.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited Ebert reference discloses chewable formulations that include 1-75% of an insoluble masticatory substance, however applicant fails to acknowledge that the disclosed composition is also comprised of soluble materials such as gelatin, glycerin or sorbitol, water, taste modifiers, etc. If a material is soluble then it must also be digestible. Based upon this disclosure in Ebert, it is the examiner's position that the cited art reads on that limitation.

Art Unit: 1615

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charesse L. Evans whose telephone number is 703-308-6400. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00a - 4:30p; Alternating Fridays 7:00a - 3:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Charesse L. Evans Examiner Art Unit 1615

May 1, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600